	Case 2:04-cr-00104-JAM-CMK Docume	nt 241 Filed 03/23/15 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:04-cr-0104-JAM-CMK 2:09-cv-2655-JAM-CMK
12	Respondent,	2.09-CV-2033-JAIVI-CIVIK
13	V.	ORDER
14	MARK STEVEN ERCKERT,	
15	Movant.	
16		
17	Movant, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this motion to vacate, set	
18	aside or correct a criminal judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. This matter was referred to a	
19	United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Eastern District of California local rules.	
20	On October 8, 2014, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations	
21	herein which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file	
22	objections within a specified time. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been	
23	filed. The count has reviewed the fil	a and finds the findings and recommendations to be
2425	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
26	supported by the record and y the Magistrate Judge's analysis.	
27		
28	Although it appears from the file that the findings and recommendations were returned, the parties were properly served. It is the responsibility of the parties to keep the court apprised of their address of record at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
	70 <u>-</u> (7), 551 100 01 documents	1

Case 2:04-cr-00104-JAM-CMK Document 241 Filed 03/23/15 Page 2 of 2

1	Before a movant can appeal a decision from this court, a certificate of	
2	appealability must issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). See Fed. R. App. P 22(b); see also 28 U.S.C.	
3	§ 2255. A certificate of appealability may issue under 28 U.S.C. § 2253 "only if the applicant h	
4	made a substantial showing of the denial of constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). The	
5	court must either issue a certificate of appealability indicating which issues satisfy the required	
6	showing or must state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. See Fed. R. App. P.	
7	22(b). For the reasons set forth in the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, movan	
8	has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.	
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
10	1. The findings and recommendations filed October 8, 2014, are adopted in full;	
11	2. Movant's motion (Doc. 223 in the criminal docket) is denied;	
12	3. The court declines to issue a certificate of appealability; and	
13	4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close companion civil case no. 2:09-cv-	
14	2655-JAM-CMK.	
15	DATED: March 20, 2015	
16	/s/ John A. Mendez	
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		